

Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò Shares Interview with “Fuori dal Coro” That Was Pulled and Did Not Broadcast – Over His Justified Criticism of the Late Pope Francis

GP thegatewaypundit.com/2025/04/archbishop-carlo-maria-vigano-shares-interview-fuori-dal/

Apr. 26, 2025 9:15 am



Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò

Guest post by Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò

This is the interview requested by the editorial staff of “Fuori dal Coro” on Mediaset for yesterday’s episode, April 23. Without any explanation and without any apology from the editorial staff, my intervention was not broadcast. I make it available here.

1. Your Excellency, what is your evaluation of Pope Bergoglio?

In recent decades, a subversive lobby has taken over the levers of power in governments and institutions, in order to carry out the anti-Christian and Masonic plan of the Revolution. Government agencies – as we know from what is also emerging in the United States of America – have interfered in the life of various nations, organizing and financing the so-called “Agenda 2030” of the Rockefeller Foundation and the World Economic Forum, which consists in the destruction of the family, the commodification of human life, the moral corruption of children and young people, the exploitation of workers, and the privatization of all those services that until the 1990s were guaranteed – without a motive of profit – by the State: health, infrastructure, defense,

communications, education. To carry out this global coup, the collaboration (paid, of course) of corrupt government officials, and of corrupt politicians, doctors, judges, and teachers was necessary.

The Catholic Church, which with the Second Vatican Council had already aligned itself with the worldly mentality, had however remained firmly anchored to certain non-negotiable principles, for example in matters of sexual morality or respect for human life from conception to natural death. Benedict XVI was clearly opposed to the globalist plan and would never have derogated from these principles by legitimizing the LGBTQ ideology, gender ideology, the pseudo-health follies of the WHO in matters of genetic modification and world depopulation, or the Islamization of Europe through ethnic substitution. It was therefore necessary to eliminate Joseph Ratzinger, replacing him with a “pope” who – as Hillary Clinton’s collaborator, John Podesta, hoped – would promote the Agenda 2030, ratify climate change fraud, and convince the faithful around the world to undergo the inoculation of a serum that we now know was designed to eliminate or pathologize most of humanity.

This *Vatican coup* was made possible (and we know this from the admissions of its protagonists, including the controversial Cardinal Danneels) by the maneuvers of the Saint Gallen Mafia, which in concert with the American deep state pushed Benedict XVI to resign and managed to appoint Jorge Mario Bergoglio to the highest position in the Catholic Church.

Bergoglio’s was a usurpation no more and no less than those that allowed the globalist lobby to impose government leaders under the orders of the Davos Forum in the Italy of Conte and Draghi, the France of Macron, the England of Johnson and Starmer, the Spain of Sanchez, the Ireland of Martin, the Canada of Trudeau, the Australia of Arden, the United States first of the Clintons and Obama and then of Biden, and in the European Union of von der Leyen. All of these leaders did not come to power legitimately, but rather through scheming, electoral fraud, or manipulation of consensus; and they exercise this power against the citizens and against the institutions that they should represent.

And all of these people, without exception, are widely compromised and subject to blackmail, so they are forced to obey if they do not want to see their crimes and perversions brought to light. And neither Bergoglio nor his acolytes are an exception in this regard.

The judgment that any Catholic is led to formulate on this “papacy” – which was not really a Papacy at all but only seemed to be such – cannot but be terrible, from every point of view. The Church of Rome, after these twelve years of tyranny, is devastated by scandals, corruption, violations of human rights – I am thinking of the Agreement with

the communist dictatorship of Beijing – and by a failed management on all fronts. The timid criticisms of some Cardinals and Bishops of Bergoglio's heresies and scandals have in no way dented this global regime that sees the worlds' rulers as its allies – opposed to the good of both citizens and believers.

2. On the day Pope Francis died, you wrote a post on X in which you define the statements Bergoglio confided to Eugenio Scalfari as “heretical ravings.” Can you explain why?

According to Scalfari, Bergoglio confided to him that he did not believe in hell, and that he was convinced that good souls are saved by “merging” with God, while damned souls are destroyed, dissolved into nothingness. This contradicts both Sacred Scripture and the Catholic Magisterium, which teach that every soul, at the moment of physical death, faces the Particular Judgment and is rewarded with either eternal bliss (possibly passing through Purgatory) or punished with eternal damnation, depending on how it behaved in life, and on its state of friendship or enmity with God at the moment of passing away. This is why I spoke of *heretical ravings*: they are added to a very long list of nonsense and heresies that we have all had to endure in recent years.

3. What are you referring to when you speak of “his heirs... the subversives”?

Bergoglio surrounded himself with corrupt and blackmailable characters, whom he used casually in order to obtain what he intended. He mocked, denigrated, and offended honest Cardinals and Bishops. He protected and covered up investigations into Prelates accused of serious crimes. He promoted the entire chain of American Prelates, corrupt and ultra-progressive, all connected to the former Cardinal McCarrick, who today occupy the main American dioceses and key positions in the Vatican. He lifted the excommunication of his Jesuit brother Marco Rupnik, whose shameful affairs had scandalized even the most moderate. He persecuted all his opponents, including me, inflicting excommunication on me, in violation of law and justice. All of these people are still in their places, they continue to demolish the Church and are preparing, with the next Conclave, to complete the task assigned to them: to transform the Church of Christ into an ecumenical and syncretistic organization of Masonic origin that lends its support to the New World Order.

4. In your estimation, Pope Francis was an anti-Pope, a non-Pope. Can you explain why?

The Cardinal who is elected in a Conclave as Successor of Peter must express his acceptance and consent to assume the functions proper to the Papacy.

I believe that Bergoglio's acceptance of the Papacy was flawed because he considered the Papacy something other than what it is; like the spouse who marries in church

excluding the specific purposes of Marriage, therefore nullifying the marriage precisely due to his defect of consent.

Bergoglio obtained his election through fraud, abusing the authority of the Roman Pontiff to do the exact opposite of what Jesus Christ gave a mandate to Saint Peter and his Successors to do: to confirm the faithful in the Catholic Faith, to shepherd and govern the Lord's Flock, and to preach the Gospel to all people. All of Bergoglio's governing and teaching actions – from his first appearance at the Vatican Loggia introducing himself with that disturbing “*Buona sera*” – have unfolded in a direction diametrically opposed to the Petrine mandate: he has adulterated the *Depositum Fidei*, he has created confusion and led the faithful into error, he has dispersed the Flock, he has declared that the evangelization of peoples is “solemn nonsense,” condemning it as proselytism; and he has systematically abused the power of the Holy Keys to loosen what cannot be loosened and bind what cannot be bound.

The Pope is not the master of the Church, but rather the Vicar of Christ: he must exercise his authority within the boundaries established by Jesus Christ and in accordance with the purposes desired by God: first of all, the salvation of souls through the preaching of the Gospel to all creatures, and by means of the Sacraments.

Trending: New Details Emerge About Female Pilot Rebecca Lobach's Shocking Negligence Before Helicopter-Plane Collision Near DC's Reagan Airport

A Pope cannot therefore consider himself authorized to “reinvent” the Papacy, to “reread it in a synodal key”, to “modernize it”, to dismember it at will, to change the Faith or Morals. If he thinks that the Papacy allows him to modify the institution he presides over, by that very fact he finds himself in that situation of *defect of consent* (*vitium consensus*) such as to nullify his apparent elevation to the Petrine Ministry, because what he has accepted is not the Catholic Papacy as it has always been understood from Saint Peter onwards, but rather a personal idea of “papacy.”

For this reason, I am convinced that the subversive role played by Bergoglio – even considered in the broader international context of the globalist coup – makes him a usurper, an anti-pope, a non-pope precisely, because he was perfectly aware of wanting to tamper with the Papacy by transforming it into something else and giving it purposes that are not those of the Papacy: from the cult of the idol of Pachamama to communion for divorcees and the blessing of homosexual couples, from immigration to the promotion of vaccines, from climate propaganda to gender transition.

On the other hand, we have confirmation of this from those who praise Bergoglio not for having been a Catholic Pope, but for the *empanadas* of the trans population of Torvaianica or for his warm friendship with Emma Bonino.

5. What will be the future of the Church now that Pope Francis has died?

The death of Bergoglio crystallizes, so to speak, a situation of widespread illegitimacy. Of the 136 Cardinal electors, 108 were “created” by him; which means that whatever Pope is elected in the upcoming Conclave – even if he were a new Saint Pius X – his authority will be compromised by having been elected by false cardinals, created by a false Pope. For this reason, some time ago, I asked my Brothers in the Episcopate to clarify these aspects before they proceed with the election of a new Pope.

Certainly, the situation is disastrous and humanly without a solution. However, as Bishop and Successor of the Apostles I cannot help but remind everyone that the Church, which is the Mystical Body of Christ, is destined to face the *passio Ecclesiae* following the example of the Lord. It will be precisely from this passion – in which everything will seem lost as on that Good Friday 1,992 years ago – that the Church will be reborn, regenerated, and purified.

During these days in which we celebrate Easter, every Catholic finds in Christ’s triumph over death and sin the reasons for their own faithfulness to the Gospel. Our Lord told us, shortly before He faced His Passion: *Do not be afraid: I have overcome the world.*

+ Carlo Maria Viganò, Archbishop
April 23, 2025